

E Rybakina — Insights Report

I Swiatek vs E Rybakina · I Swiatek-E Rybakina: 4-6 4-6
hybrid mode

AI Analysis

Why E Rybakina won or lost (Q7)

E Rybakina won because she created a clear overall point advantage and was particularly effective in the most consequential points.


- **Point balance:** Rybakina won **59** of **110** total points (**54%**), compared with **51** (**46%**) for I Swiatek. The eight-point margin supported the straight-sets result.
- **First-serve dominance:** She won **77%** of first-serve points (**24/31**), providing a reliable advantage in her service games. She also produced **6** aces and **9** unreturnable serves across 54 serve attempts.
- **Break-point conversion:** On return, Rybakina converted **6** of **12** break points (**50%**). That ability to take half of her opportunities was a major source of separation.
- **Clutch performance:** She won **33** of **49** high-pressure points (**67%**), a strong and sufficiently sampled result. Her error rate was also lower under pressure—**31%** versus **47%** overall—showing that she became more disciplined rather than more erratic in critical situations.
- **Error management was the main limiting factor, not the deciding one:** Rybakina made **24** unforced errors, equal to **47%** of the **51** points she lost. However, she offset that with **25** winners and substantially improved during her strongest later stretches: **0** unforced errors in both G8 (**12–7** in points) and G9 (**9–3**).

Recommendations (Q8)

- Raise second-serve point-win performance.**
Rybakina won **48%** of second-serve points (**11/23**), well below her **77%** first-serve win rate (**24/31**). Use second-serve-plus-one drills: serve to the body or backhand target, then rehearse the first baseline ball with a defined high-margin direction. Her second serve already went predominantly to the body (**13/22**, **59%**), so the priority is improving the follow-up-ball quality rather than changing direction without evidence.
- Reduce netted serve faults through clearance-focused serving work.**
Of her **23** recorded serve faults, **13** were into the net versus **10** long. In serve practice, include target-and-clearance constraints—particularly on second serves—to improve net clearance while retaining the body-serve pattern that she used effectively under pressure (**8/12** body second serves, **67%**).
- Improve consistency between strong and weak stretches.**
The match was classified as **streaky** (variance **14.9**, sufficient). Rybakina had a difficult G7 stretch, losing the points **8–13** with **6** unforced errors and 1 double fault, before responding with G8 and G9 point margins of **5** and **6**, respectively, with **zero** unforced errors in each bucket. Train a repeatable between-point reset and one conservative “stabilizing” rally pattern for momentum-loss periods, with the goal of preventing error-heavy stretches rather than changing tactics when they are working.
- Preserve the pressure-point process rather than overhaul it.**
This is a strength to maintain: Rybakina won **67%** of high-pressure points (**33/49**) and converted **50%** of break points (**6/12**). Practice break-point and deciding-point scenarios using the same disciplined approach that produced a **31%** unforced-error rate under pressure, lower than her **47%** overall rate.
- No net-game expansion recommendation from this match alone.**
Insufficient data (**n=5** net points). Although Rybakina won **4/5** net points, that sample is too small to conclude that increased net play should be a priority.

1 · Serve Patterns

First serve



Second serve



■ Wide ■ Body ■ T

Serve sequences (W/B/T by point):

1st · Deuce: WBWBWTTTWTWTTTTTTWTBTTT
1st · Ad: BBWTTWwBTWWTWTTWTWTTWWW
2nd · Deuce: BBTBTBBBBTT
2nd · Ad: WBBWWBBWBW


2 · Serve Strengths & Weaknesses

1st serve in	57% (31/54)
1st serve won	77% (24/31)
2nd serve won	48% (11/23)
Aces	6
Double faults	1
Unreturnable serves	9
Fault tendency	mostly net (13 net / 10 out)


▲ Strong first-serve win rate. 77% won on 1st serve (24/31)

3 · Baseline Strengths & Weaknesses

Unforced errors: 24 (net 8, out 15)



Winners & forced errors: 25




No standout strengths or weaknesses met the sample-size threshold.

4 · Net Game

Net points played	5
Net points won	4/5 (80% (n=4, thin))
Volley (won / UE)	1 / 0
Overhead (won / UE)	2 / 0
Insufficient net data. only 5 net points played	

5 · Momentum & Consistency



Streaky — performance swung between stretches. Mean point differential 1.5/bucket, variance 14.9.

• **Better stretch** — unforced errors fell from 6 to 2 per bucket.

6 · Clutch Performance

High-pressure points won	33/49 (67% (33/49))
HP on serve / return	26 / 23
HP first serve in	54% (14/26)
Unforced-error rate	31% under pressure vs 47% overall
Break points	6/12 (50% (6/12))
Game points	2/4 (50% (2/4))

- **Tighter under pressure.** 31% unforced-error rate under pressure vs 47% overall
- **Clutch performer.** won 33/49 high-pressure points (67%)
- **Clinical break-point converter.** 6/12 break points (50%)

7 · Why the subject won or lost

Why E Rybakina won or lost (Q7)

E Rybakina won because she created a clear overall point advantage and was particularly effective in the most consequential points.

- **Point balance:** Rybakina won **59** of 110 total points (54%), compared with **51** (46%) for I Swiatek. The eight-point margin supported the straight-sets result.
- **First-serve dominance:** She won **77%** of first-serve points (24/31), providing a reliable advantage in her service games. She also produced **6 aces** and **9 unreturnable serves** across 54 serve attempts.
- **Break-point conversion:** On return, Rybakina converted **6** of 12 break points (50%). That ability to take half of her opportunities was a major source of separation.
- **Clutch performance:** She won **33** of 49 high-pressure points (67%), a strong and sufficiently sampled result. Her error rate was also lower under pressure—**31%** versus **47% overall**—showing that she became more disciplined rather than

more erratic in critical situations.

- **Error management was the main limiting factor, not the deciding one:** Rybakina made **24 unforced errors**, equal to **47%** of the 51 points she lost. However, she offset that with **25 winners** and substantially improved during her strongest later stretches: **0 unforced errors** in both G8 (12–7 in points) and G9 (9–3).

Recommendations (Q8)

1. **Raise second-serve point-win performance.**

Rybakina won **48%** of second-serve points (11/23), well below her **77%** first-serve win rate (24/31). Use second-serve-plus-one drills: serve to the body or backhand target, then rehearse the first baseline ball with a defined high-margin direction. Her second serve already went predominantly to the body (**13/22, 59%**), so the priority is improving the follow-up-ball quality rather than changing direction without evidence.

2. **Reduce netted serve faults through clearance-focused serving work.**

Of her **23 recorded serve faults**, **13** were into the net versus **10 long**. In serve practice, include target-and-clearance constraints—particularly on second serves—to improve net clearance while retaining the body-serve pattern that she used effectively under pressure (**8/12 body second serves, 67%**).

3. **Improve consistency between strong and weak stretches.**

The match was classified as **streaky** (variance **14.9**, sufficient). Rybakina had a difficult G7 stretch, losing the points **8–13** with **6 unforced errors** and 1 double fault, before responding with G8 and G9 point margins of **+5** and **+6**, respectively, with **zero unforced errors** in each bucket. Train a repeatable between-point reset and one conservative “stabilizing” rally pattern for momentum-loss periods, with the goal of preventing error-heavy stretches rather than changing tactics when they are working.

4. **Preserve the pressure-point process rather than overhaul it.**

This is a strength to maintain: Rybakina won **67%** of high-pressure points (33/49) and converted **50%** of break points (6/12). Practice break-point and deciding-point scenarios using the same disciplined approach that produced a **31%** unforced-error rate under pressure, lower than her **47%** overall rate.

5. **No net-game expansion recommendation from this match alone.**

Insufficient data (**n=5 net points**). Although Rybakina won **4/5** net points, that sample is too small to conclude that increased net play should be a priority.

8 • Recommendations

NET GAME

Get to the net more — only 5 net points recorded. Approach-and-volley drills to add a finishing dimension.

↳ only 5 net points played

MENTAL / TACTICAL

Tighter under pressure: 31% unforced-error rate under pressure vs 47% overall

↳ 31% unforced-error rate under pressure vs 47% overall

MENTAL / TACTICAL

Keep trusting the patterns that win clutch points — your high-pressure win rate is strong; rehearse those point patterns deliberately.

↳ won 33/49 high-pressure points (67%)

MENTAL / TACTICAL

Clinical break-point converter: 6/12 break points (50%)

↳ 6/12 break points (50%)

CONSISTENCY

Reduce performance swings: the match had streaky stretches — work on between-point routine and steady tactical selection to avoid droughts.

↳ *Streaky* – performance swung between stretches

Generated by Tennis Analyzer