

D Collins — Insights Report

A Barty vs D Collins · A Barty-D Collins: 6-3 7-6
hybrid mode

AI Analysis

Why the subject won or lost (Q7)

D Collins lost to A Barty because the overall point deficit was not fully offset by her break-point performance.


- **Point balance:** Collins won 60 of 131 points, or 46%, while Barty won 71. The 11-point deficit indicates that Barty was more consistent across the match rather than Collins losing only on a few isolated points.
- **Serve dominance:** Collins won 25 of 40 first-serve points (63%), but her second-serve effectiveness was lower at 12 of 24 points (50%). Her first-serve rate was 63% (40/64), with only 1 ace and 7 unreturnable serves, so the serve produced some advantage but not enough sustained dominance.
- **Error management:** Collins committed 23 unforced errors—14 off the net and 9 into the net. Those errors represented approximately 32% of the 71 points she lost (23/71), and the error total was close to her 25 winners. Without opponent error data, the exact comparative impact cannot be established, but Collins gave away a substantial number of points.
- **Break-point conversion:** Collins converted 9 of 18 break points (50%). That is a meaningful opportunity rate, but she left 9 break points unconverted, limiting her ability to turn her chances into a lead against Barty.
- **Clutch performance:** Collins won 30 of 58 high-pressure points (52%), a narrow positive balance of two points. However, her first-serve-in rate under pressure fell to 53% (16/30), which reduced the reliability of her serve in the most important moments.
- **Overall interpretation:** Collins had competitive clutch and break-point numbers, but the combination of 23 unforced errors, only 50% of second-serve points won, and a 60–71 total-point deficit prevented those positive moments from producing the match result.

Recommendations (Q8)

- **Improve break-point execution:** Collins converted 9 of 18 break points (50%), leaving half of her opportunities unused. Train break-point patterns with a defined first-ball plan—serve plus one or return plus one—and pressure repetitions that require choosing a high-margin target before accelerating.
- **Strengthen the second-serve point:** Collins won only 12 of 24 second-serve points (50%), compared with 63% on first-serve points (25/40). Build a second-serve-plus-one pattern emphasizing depth and a predictable next shot, then test it in simulated break-point and high-pressure games.
- **Raise first-serve reliability under pressure:** First-serve percentage was 63% overall (40/64) but only 53% in high-pressure situations (16/30). Use pressure serving sets in which every missed first serve requires playing the point from the second serve, with emphasis on maintaining the same pre-serve routine and target selection.
- **Reduce unforced errors:** Collins recorded 23 unforced errors, including 14 into the net and 9 out. Prioritize technical and decision-making drills that increase net clearance and directional margin, especially on neutral baseline balls, before moving to higher-risk attacking targets.
- **Protect high-pressure points through serve variation:** Under pressure, Collins served wide 14 times, to the body 4 times, and to the T 12 times across 30 serves. The distribution was relatively concentrated on wide and T serves, so pressure practice should require deliberate target variation rather than defaulting to the preferred locations.

1 · Serve Patterns

First serve



Second serve



Serve sequences (W/B/T by point):

1st · Deuce: BWWWBTWTTWTWTTWTBWWWTWTWTTWTWTT

1st · Ad: WWBTWTWWWWWBBWTWWTWTTWTTWTTWTT

2nd · Deuce: BBBWBWBTWTBT

2nd · Ad: BBWBWBBTTBT

2 · Serve Strengths & Weaknesses

1st serve in	63% (40/64)
1st serve won	63% (25/40)
2nd serve won	50% (12/24)
Aces	1
Double faults	2
Unreturnable serves	7
Fault tendency	mostly out (6 net / 18 out)

No standout strengths or weaknesses met the sample-size threshold.

3 · Baseline Strengths & Weaknesses

Unforced errors: 23 (net 9, out 14)

Forehand	10 (43%)
Backhand	13 (57%)

Winners & forced errors: 25

Forehand	12 (48%)
Backhand	10 (40%)
Volley	1 (4%)
Overhead	2 (8%)


No standout strengths or weaknesses met the sample-size threshold.

4 · Net Game

Net points played	11
Net points won	7/11 (64% (7/11))
Volley (won / UE)	1 / 0
Overhead (won / UE)	2 / 0

Strong at net. 7/11 net points won (64%)

5 · Momentum & Consistency



Moderately streaky. Mean point differential -1.2/bucket, variance 11.8.

• **Better stretch** — unforced errors fell from 4 to 3 per bucket.

6 · Clutch Performance

High-pressure points won	30/58 (52% (30/58))
HP on serve / return	30 / 28
HP first serve in	53% (16/30)
Unforced-error rate	32% under pressure vs 32% overall
Break points	9/18 (50% (9/18))
Game points	2/6 (33% (2/6))

• **Clinical break-point converter.** 9/18 break points (50%)

7 · Why the subject won or lost

Why the subject won or lost (Q7)

D Collins lost to A Barty because the overall point deficit was not fully offset by her break-point performance.

- **Point balance:** Collins won 60 of 131 points, or 46%, while Barty won 71. The 11-point deficit indicates that Barty was more consistent across the match rather than Collins losing only on a few isolated points.
- **Serve dominance:** Collins won 25 of 40 first-serve points (63%), but her second-serve effectiveness was lower at 12 of 24 points (50%). Her first-serve rate was 63% (40/64), with only 1 ace and 7 unreturnable serves, so the serve produced some advantage but not enough sustained dominance.
- **Error management:** Collins committed 23 unforced errors—14 off the net and 9 into the net. Those errors represented approximately 32% of the 71 points she lost (23/71), and the error total was close to her 25 winners. Without opponent error data, the exact comparative impact cannot be established, but Collins gave away a substantial number of points.
- **Break-point conversion:** Collins converted 9 of 18 break points (50%). That is a meaningful opportunity rate, but she left 9 break points unconverted, limiting her ability to turn her chances into a lead against Barty.
- **Clutch performance:** Collins won 30 of 58 high-pressure points (52%), a narrow positive balance of two points. However, her first-serve-in rate under pressure fell to 53% (16/30), which reduced the reliability of her serve in the most important moments.
- **Overall interpretation:** Collins had competitive clutch and break-point numbers, but the combination of 23 unforced errors, only 50% of second-serve points won, and a 60–71 total-point deficit prevented those positive moments from producing the match result.

Recommendations (Q8)

- **Improve break-point execution:** Collins converted 9 of 18 break points (50%), leaving half of her opportunities unused. Train break-point patterns with a defined first-ball plan—serve plus one or return plus one—and pressure repetitions that require

- choosing a high-margin target before accelerating.
- **Strengthen the second-serve point:** Collins won only 12 of 24 second-serve points (50%), compared with 63% on first-serve points (25/40). Build a second-serve-plus-one pattern emphasizing depth and a predictable next shot, then test it in simulated break-point and high-pressure games.
 - **Raise first-serve reliability under pressure:** First-serve percentage was 63% overall (40/64) but only 53% in high-pressure situations (16/30). Use pressure serving sets in which every missed first serve requires playing the point from the second serve, with emphasis on maintaining the same pre-serve routine and target selection.
 - **Reduce unforced errors:** Collins recorded 23 unforced errors, including 14 into the net and 9 out. Prioritize technical and decision-making drills that increase net clearance and directional margin, especially on neutral baseline balls, before moving to higher-risk attacking targets.
 - **Protect high-pressure points through serve variation:** Under pressure, Collins served wide 14 times, to the body 4 times, and to the T 12 times across 30 serves. The distribution was relatively concentrated on wide and T serves, so pressure practice should require deliberate target variation rather than defaulting to the preferred locations.

8 · Recommendations

MENTAL / TACTICAL

Clinical break-point converter: 9/18 break points (50%)

↳ 9/18 break points (50%)